

THINKING WOOD AS A MATERIAL OF CHOICE

COSTS LESS, DELIVERS MORE



Cathedral of Christ the Light; Oakland, California; Design architect: Skidmore, Owings & Merrill. Photo by Timothy Hursley

Designers today are finding new possibilities in one of the oldest building materials on earth. Wood has always been valued for its beauty, abundance and practicality, but many of wood's inherent characteristics are rising to very current challenges. Wood's traditional values and newest technologies meet in the projects presented in this course, illustrating the advantages of wood in four areas: cost-effectiveness in a wide range of projects; adaptability for use in challenging, visionary new designs; lower environmental costs throughout its life cycle, from its source in renewable, carefully managed forests, through an energy-efficient service life, and often on to a new, recycled and

reimagined use; and a unique human-nature connection that has always been intuitive, but is now being documented in research.

COST CONSCIOUS

As a material grown throughout North America, wood can be locally sourced and is usually less expensive than alternative building materials. Wood building systems also typically cost less to install when construction is viewed as a whole, for a number of reasons. Wood is readily available and tends to be delivered quickly, and most communities have a large pool of qualified tradespeople with wood framing experience,

Presented by:

**THINK
WOOD™**

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

After reading this article you will be able to:

1. Compare the material, project and environmental costs of wood to other building materials.
2. Explain innovative wood technologies and how they are contributing to a wide range of sustainable designs.
3. Discuss the environmental impact of wood throughout its life cycle, including its renewability, certification options, impacts on energy efficiency, low carbon footprint, and end-of-life recycling and reuse.
4. Examine research and examples demonstrating the positive impact of exposed wood on a building's occupants.

CONTINUING EDUCATION

AIA CREDIT: 1 LU/HSW

GBCI CREDIT: 1 CE Hour

AIA COURSE NUMBER: ARmay2015.4

GBCI COURSE NUMBER: 920003245

Use the learning objectives above to focus your study as you read this article.

Visit <http://go.hw.net/AR515Course4> to read more and complete the quiz for credit.



which minimizes construction delays and keeps labor costs competitive. Wood's adaptability and ease of use also translate into faster construction schedules, while a smaller foundation may be needed because of its light weight.

For the Carroll Smith Elementary School in Osceola, Arkansas, wood's light weight indirectly led to savings. The project was originally designed in concrete block. This would have required expensive piers to address soft soil conditions. The project team also looked at using steel construction elements, which were found to concentrate the load in unacceptably small areas. Ultimately, the project team

selected wood thus reducing both the need for piers and the cost of the structural system. According to Ferran Espin of PKM Architects, lead designer for the project, using wood for the walls, floor and roof deck saved approximately \$10 per square foot compared to a steel structure with light metal gauge framing. John Warriner of John Warriner and Associates, also part of the architectural team, said wood was the natural choice for this project given its economic value and design flexibility. Designing the building using wood allowed the team to meet all of the project requirements in the most financially responsible way.

In addition to material costs, an aggressive construction schedule was one of the main drivers for the choice of wood in Emory Point, a mixed-use project near Emory University in Atlanta, Georgia. Designed by Cooper Carry and The Preston Partnership, the 442-unit project includes one five-story wood-frame building over slab-on-grade and three four-story wood-frame buildings over one-story concrete podiums. According to Brad Ellinwood, PE, of Ellinwood + Machado Consulting Structural Engineers, a number of systems were considered but wood was by far the most economical. For the structural frame portion only, the wood design cost approximately \$14/square foot compared to \$22/square foot for a 7-inch post-tensioned concrete slab and frame. Despite the need for significant site preparation, wood's ease of use allowed the entire project to be completed in just over a year.

Often, even when wood is chosen to meet other goals, cost is still the deciding factor. For the Marselle Condominium project in Seattle, Washington, wood construction helped the building meet requirements of the local Master Builders Association Built Green program. But while the environmental recognition was an added benefit, the developer considered the decision to use wood framing purely financial. "If the project had been built using all concrete, for instance, it would have cost about 30 percent more," according to Kory Knudson, vice president of Norcon, NW, Inc. "If we had built the entire project out of steel, it would have taken much longer and we would have had to make many energy modifications."

INNOVATIVE USES FOR A TRADITIONAL BUILDING MATERIAL

Building codes recognize wood's structural performance capabilities in a broad range of applications—from the light-duty repetitive framing common in small structures to the larger and heavier framing systems used to build arenas, schools and other large buildings. However, around the world, architects and structural engineers are extending the boundaries of wood design, while innovative technologies and building systems continue to expand opportunities for wood use in construction. It's a symbiotic relationship that has also influenced the evolution of building codes and standards.

For example, the Cathedral of Christ the Light in Oakland, California is an extraordinary timber cathedral designed to last 300 years using a unique structural system. Designed by Skidmore, Owings & Merrill LLP (SOM), the soaring 36,000-square-foot, 1,500-seat structure replaces another cathedral destroyed during a 1989 earthquake. Architecturally stunning, the new building features a space-frame structure comprised of a glued-laminated timber (glulam) and steel-rod skeleton veiled with a glass skin. Given the close proximity of fault lines and non-conformance of the design to a standard *California Building Code* lateral system, the City of Oakland hired a peer review committee to review SOM's design for toughness and ductility. Through the use of advanced seismic engineering, including base isolation, the structure has been designed to withstand a 1,000-year earthquake. Engineers were able to achieve the appropriate structural strength and toughness by carefully defining ductility requirements for the structure, using three dimensional computer models that simulate the entire structure's nonlinear behavior, testing of critical components relied on for seismic base isolation and superstructure ductility, and verifying their installation.

An example with farther-reaching implications is the Long Hall in Whitefish, Montana, the first commercial building in the U.S. to be built from cross laminated timber (CLT). Although CLT has since been written into the *2015 International Building Code*¹ (IBC), it was new to code officials when this Type VB structure was built under the 2009 IBC. Darryl Byle, PE, of CLT Solutions worked with the local building department more than six months in advance to address concerns and keep the project on schedule. Among the challenges, the team needed approval of the CLT system as a stand-alone, one-hour rated assembly in order to feature exposed CLT on the interior. Byle used data on fire design from sources such as the *National Design Specification*[®] (*NDS*[®]) for *Wood Construction* and experimental CLT fire test data from manufacturers and independent sources to demonstrate that CLT panels could be expected to perform well in a fire event.

In addition to CLT, parallel strand lumber (PSL), glulam and prefabricated paneling systems are among the products contributing to a wider range of wood buildings. They have made wood a viable choice for applications such as arenas, gymnasiums and lobbies, which require tall walls and large open spaces with minimal, intermediate supports.



Environmental recognition with local green building programs was a plus for the Marselle Condominiums in Seattle, Washington, designed by PB Architects, but cost was the driving factor in the decision to use wood construction. Photo by Matt Todd, courtesy of WoodWorks



Speed record: Taking speed of construction to an entirely new level, the two-story Long Hall in Whitefish, Montana, designed by Datum Design Drafting and engineered by CLT Solutions, took just five days to erect and gave the owner a sustainable, energy-efficient building. It was the first commercial building in the U.S. made from CLT. Photo by gravityshots.com

For example, glulam can be manufactured to achieve spans as long as 100 feet and walls up to 20 feet. (See the case study on the Bullitt Center in Seattle, Washington.)



The Bullitt Center. Photo by John Stamets (See case study.)

WOOD AND THE ENVIRONMENT

Wood grows naturally and is renewable. Life cycle assessment (LCA) studies also show that wood yields clear environmental advantages over other common building materials in terms of embodied energy, air and water pollution, and greenhouse gas emissions.

In the past, the green building movement has taken a prescriptive approach to choosing building materials. This approach assumes that certain prescribed practices—such as using local materials or specifying products with recycled content—are better for the environment regardless of the product's manufacturing process or disposal. Today, however, it is being replaced by the scientific evaluation of actual impacts through LCA.

LCA is an internationally recognized method for measuring the environmental impacts of materials, assemblies or whole buildings over their entire lives—from extraction or harvest of raw materials through manufacturing, transportation, installation, use, maintenance and disposal or recycling. When integrated into green building codes, standards and rating systems, LCA encourages design professionals to compare different building designs based on their environmental impacts and make informed choices about the materials they use.

A comprehensive review of scientific literature² looked at research done in Europe, North America and Australia pertaining to life cycle assessment of wood products. It applied LCA criteria in accordance with ISO 14040-42 and concluded, among other things, that:

- Fossil fuel consumption, the potential contributions to the greenhouse effect and the quantities of solid waste tend to be minor for wood products compared to competing products.
- Wood products that have been installed and are used in an appropriate way tend to have a favorable environmental profile compared to functionally equivalent products made from other materials.

It's worth taking a closer look at some of the important aspects that contribute to this favorable environmental profile.

Sustainable Source

Sustainable forest management involves meeting society's need for forest products and other benefits, while respecting the values people attach to forests and preserving forest health and diversity

for the future. In North America, responsible forest management ensures that forests are legally harvested and managed to meet society's long-term demand for forest products and other sustainability goals. In the U.S. and Canada, this has resulted in more than 50 consecutive years of net forest growth that exceeds annual forest harvests.³ The rate of deforestation in the U.S. and Canada is virtually zero.⁴

Wood is also the only building material that has third-party certification programs in place to demonstrate that products being sold have come from a sustainably managed resource.

THE TREND TOWARD TALLER WOOD BUILDINGS

Multi-family housing was one of the first market segments to rebound from the recession, because it's more affordable than single-family housing while offering advantages such as less upkeep and closer proximity to amenities. Wood construction is attractive for multi-family projects because it offers high density at a relatively low cost, as well as adaptability on site, faster construction, and reduced carbon footprint. The IBC allows wood-frame construction for five stories and more (e.g., with the use of mezzanines and terraces) in building occupancies that range from business and mercantile to multi-family, military, senior, student and affordable housing. However, there are indications that this may increase as new products continue to enhance wood's ability to add value in multi-story applications. For example, cross laminated timber (CLT) is widely used in Europe and is gaining ground in North America. In Australia, a ten-story CLT building was completed in 2013, and there are eight-story examples in the UK and Austria.

LCA IN CODES, STANDARDS AND RATING SYSTEMS

LCA is more common in Europe than North America, but its use is increasing in both markets because of its holistic approach and power as an evaluative tool. For example:

The UK-based Building Research Establishment's Environmental Assessment Method (BREEAM) is the world's most widely used green building rating system and the basis for many others, including the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) system and Green Globes. The BREEAM modules for offices, multi-family buildings and ecoHomes include calculations based on LCA.

In the U.S., LCA is encouraged in the Green Globes rating system, and included in the American National Standard based on Green Globes, *ANSI/GBI 01-2010: Green Building Assessment Protocol for Commercial Buildings*. With the release of LEED v.4, a pilot credit related to LCA was replaced with optional credits related to LCA, LCA-based environmental product declarations (EPDs), material ingredient verification and raw material extraction.

LCA is incorporated in the draft *California Green Building Standards Code*, American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) *Standard 189.1*, *National Green Building Standard (ICC 700)*, and *International Green Construction Code (IGCC)*.

Sustainable forest certification allows forest companies to demonstrate the effectiveness of their practices by having them independently assessed against a stringent standard that considers environmental, economic and social values. As of August 2013, approximately 500 million acres of forest in the U.S. and Canada were certified under one of the four internationally recognized programs used in North America: the Sustainable Forestry Initiative (SFI), Forest Stewardship Council (FSC), Canadian Standards Association's Sustainable Forest Management Standard (CSA), and American Tree Farm System (ATFS). This represents more than half of the world's certified forests.

Carbon Footprint

As trees grow, they absorb carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. They release the oxygen and incorporate the carbon into their wood, roots, leaves or needles, and surrounding soil. One of three things then happens:

- As trees mature and then die, they start to decay and slowly release the stored carbon back into the atmosphere.
- The forest succumbs to wildfire, insects or disease and releases the stored carbon quickly.
- The trees are harvested and manufactured into forest products, which continue to store much of the carbon. In the case of wood buildings, the carbon is kept out of the atmosphere for the lifetime of the structure—or longer if the wood is reclaimed and manufactured into other products. Wood stores more carbon than is emitted during its harvest, production, transport and installation.



Visit <http://go.hw.net/AR515Course4> to read more and complete the quiz for credit.

SPONSOR INFORMATION

**THINK
WOOD™**

Think Wood is a leading education provider on the advantages of using softwood lumber in commercial, community and multifamily building applications. We identify and introduce innovators in the field to our community of architects, engineers, researchers, designers and developers. If you need additional support or resources, contact us at info@ThinkWood.com. For additional CEUs, visit ThinkWood.com/CEU.

QUIZ

- All of the following factors contribute to low material and project cost in wood construction except which one?
 - A smaller foundation may be needed because of wood's light weight.
 - Wood can be locally sourced and delivered quickly.
 - Wood suppliers tend to be small family operations with low overhead.
 - Construction tends to be faster than with steel and concrete structures.
- Forest management programs in North America have resulted in: (Select all that apply).
 - a rate of deforestation that is virtually zero.
 - more than 50 consecutive years of net forest growth that exceeds annual forest harvests.
 - limiting tree growth to only a few states and provinces.
 - Both a and b are correct, but not c.
 - Both b and c are correct, but not a.
- True or False: Wood stores more carbon than is emitted during its harvest, production, transport and installation.
- Which common building materials are certified by third-party organizations to demonstrate that products being sold have come from a sustainably managed resource?
 - Domestic steel, but not foreign.
 - Stone and granite.
 - Only wood.
 - Wood, concrete and brick.
- Wood has become a viable choice for applications such as arenas, gymnasiums, lobbies and other buildings which require tall walls and large open spaces with minimal intermediate supports, primarily due to:
 - improvements in tree culture resulting in stronger lumber.
 - the ongoing development of wood products and technologies such as cross laminated timber (CLT), parallel strand lumber (PSL) and glued laminated timber (glulam).
 - computer aided design to reduce loads on structural elements.
 - materials like window glass and floor covering that are now lighter in weight.
- The two-story Long Hall in Whitefish, Montana, the first commercial building in the U.S. made from CLT, was designed to be sustainable and energy-efficient, and took how long to erect?
 - Two years
 - One year
 - Six months
 - Five days
- Which of the following statements is not true about the Wood Carbon Calculator for Buildings?
 - It estimates the amount of carbon stored in a wood building.
 - It estimates the greenhouse gases avoided by not using steel or concrete.
 - It estimates the carbon emissions that result from energy use in the building's first year of operation.
 - It can be used to compare the carbon impact of alternate building designs.
- The embodied energy in buildings and issues of disposal mean that a material's ability to be recycled or reused at the end of the structure's service life has what effect on its life cycle environmental impact?
 - It's not critical because buildings in the U.S. have a typical service life of over 100 years.
 - It is important because buildings in the U.S., regardless of material, often have a service life of less than 50 years.
 - Not much impact because the embodied energy of all major building materials is the same.
 - Not much impact because no major building materials can be recycled or reused effectively.
- Which of the following statements best describes the results of the study highlighted in the course measuring the reaction of university students to four different office environments?
 - Materials used in the office interiors had very little impact on occupant response.
 - The impact of the materials used in the office interiors varied greatly depending on the individual.
 - Stress as measured by sympathetic nervous system activation was lower in the wood rooms in all periods of the study.
 - The color of the material determined occupant response more than the material.
- From a thermal perspective, which of the following make wood inherently more efficient than other materials?–
 - The insulating qualities of the wood structural elements, including studs, columns, beams and floors
 - The fact that wood stud walls are easy to insulate
 - The fact that options also for insulating wood-frame buildings that aren't available for other construction types
 - All of the above

In all of these cases, the cycle begins again as the forest regenerates and trees once again begin absorbing and storing carbon.

Putting these benefits into perspective, the Wood Carbon Calculator for Buildings⁵ (see box below) found that Stella, a luxury four- and five-story wood-frame development in California, stores 4,495 metric tons of carbon dioxide (CO₂) equivalent in its wood products, while emissions avoided by not using steel or concrete increased the carbon benefit by another 9,554 metric tons of CO₂. According to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Greenhouse Gas Equivalencies Calculator, this equates to 2,683 cars off the road for a year or the energy to operate an average home for 1,194 years.

Energy Efficiency

While it is fairly well known that wood products sequester carbon and typically require less energy to manufacture than other building materials, their performance related to operational energy efficiency is often overlooked.

From a thermal perspective, wood-frame building enclosures are inherently more efficient than steel-frame, concrete, or masonry construction⁶ (see end notes for added reference)—because of the insulating qualities of the wood structural elements, including studs, columns, beams and floors, and because wood stud walls are easy to insulate.

Options also exist for insulating wood-frame buildings that aren't available for other construction types. For example, while requirements for lighting systems or mechanical systems do not change based on structural material, wood's versatility related to building envelope configuration gives designers more insulation flexibility.

While wood-frame buildings have a history of cost-effectively achieving energy-efficiency objectives, new energy codes and standards have increased the minimum thermal requirements for building enclosure assemblies, and many of the new requirements exceed the cost-effective thermal insulation limits of traditional wood-frame construction. This has prompted the need for alternative assemblies—e.g., with insulation outside the framing spaces or deeper wall cavities—as well as more thermally-efficient detailing.

With proper attention to detail and the application of building science in design, wood buildings can meet or exceed the requirements of new energy codes and standards, as well as



According to the U.S. EPA Greenhouse Gas Equivalencies Calculator, estimated carbon benefits for the four- and five-story Stella equate to 2,683 cars off the road for a year or the energy to operate an average home for 1,194 years. Credit: Lawrence Anderson, www.lawrenceanderson.net

conservation programs and labeling systems such as Passive House, net-zero energy, and the Architecture 2030 Challenge.

Recycle/Reuse

One of the most important sustainability factors for a building material is often underestimated or overlooked completely: what will happen to the material at the end of the building's working life? A *Survey on Actual Service Lives of North American Buildings* showed that buildings in the U.S. often have a service life of less than 50 years, regardless of material, because of changing needs or increasing land values as opposed to performance issues. When one considers the embodied energy in these structures and issues related to disposal, the adaptability of wood structures and building systems, either through renovation or deconstruction and reuse, is a significant advantage. (See "Adaptive Reuse" case study on the Federal Center South—Building 1202.)

BRINGING NATURE TO THE INTERIOR ENVIRONMENT

As buildings become increasingly dependent on and designed for technology, the human need to connect with nature doesn't change, but it can get harder to accommodate. Wood has unique characteristics that most people respond

WOOD CARBON CALCULATOR

The Wood Carbon Calculator for Buildings estimates the amount of carbon stored in a wood building and the greenhouse gas emissions avoided by not using steel or concrete. Free to design and building professionals, it allows the easy comparison of the carbon impact of alternate designs.

Source: www.woodworks.org



Thunder Bay Regional Health Sciences Center in Ontario, designed by Salter Farrow Pilon Architects Inc., was the first hospital in Canada to gain approval for the use of wood as a primary structural element. Photo by www.naturallywood.com

to intuitively. This positive connection is being documented by a growing body of research, and can be a valuable asset in spaces filled with electronic devices and screens, synthetic materials and artificial lighting.

People feel an instinctive connection and attraction to natural materials, and many building designers cite the warm attributes of wood as a reason for its use. Evidence also suggests that exposed wood can contribute to an individual's sense of well-being. In an office or school, wood is thought to improve performance and productivity; in a hospital, it may have a positive impact on patient recovery.

Studies⁷ at the University of British Columbia and FPInnovations found that the presence of visual wood surfaces in a room lowered activation of the sympathetic nervous system (SNS). The SNS is responsible for physiological stress responses in humans such as increased blood pressure and heart rate while inhibiting the parasympathetic system responsible for digestion, recovery and repair functions in the body. One study immersed 119 university students in one of four different office environments, some with wood surfaces and others without. Stress as measured by SNS activation was lower in the wood rooms in all periods of the study. The study concluded that wood is one way to create a healthier built environment.

Study author David Fell says the results of the office study apply to any interior environment.

“The stress-reducing effects we found for wood in office environments are in theory transferable to any building type as these are innate reactions to natural materials.”

Another example is the Herrington Recovery Center in Oconomowoc, Wisconsin, a 21,000-square-foot, 20-bed treatment center for executives and business professionals. Cedar and stained wood were used inside and out. Wood ceilings and soffits in the recreation room and entrances to sleeping rooms brought warmth to the space, while exposed glulam beams allowed for soaring ceilings and clerestory windows provided ample natural light. Patient rooms had shorter spans, so the dividing walls between patient rooms were designed as bearing walls. This allowed the use of cost-effective 2x lumber for ceiling joists. “Certainly from a cost standpoint, it made a lot of sense to do the whole thing on a wood frame,” said architect John Curran, ALA, senior vice president for TWP Architecture. “And by using wood in what some might consider an institutional setting, we were able to create a warm and familiar environment to make patients feel more comfortable and more at home.”

Designed by Salter Farrow Pilon Architects, the Thunder Bay Regional Health Sciences Center in Ontario was the first hospital in Canada to gain approval for the use of wood as a primary structural element. Featuring a dramatic three-story wood and glass walkway, the structure incorporates over 1,100 glulam members, some more than 65 feet long. The use of wood extensively throughout the structure provides a bright and optimistic atmosphere for patients, staff, and the community.

CONCLUSION

With growing pressure to reduce the carbon footprint of the built environment, building designers are increasingly being called upon to balance functionality and cost objectives with reduced environmental impact. Wood can help to achieve that balance.

Wood typically costs less—economically and environmentally—while delivering more in terms of its beauty, versatility and performance. It meets code requirements in a wide range of low- and mid-rise building types, and innovative new technologies continue to expand the

possibilities for wood use in construction. Wood can also deliver a deep connection to nature that will only become more valuable in our built environment as humans continue to advance, and to stay the same. ■

ENDNOTES

- 1) American Wood Council, www.awc.org/NewsReleases/2012/newsreleases2012.php#clt
- 2) Werner, F. and Richter, K. 2007. *Wooden building products in comparative LCA: A literature review*. International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment, 12(7): 470-479.
- 3) Calculated by Dovetail Partners Inc. based on data from Natural Resources Canada and the USDA Forest Service.
- 4) *Deforestation in Canada – What are the Facts*, Natural Resources Canada; *State of the World's Forests, 2011*, United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization
- 5) Wood Carbon Calculator for Buildings, www.woodworks.org
- 6) *Guide for Designing Energy-Efficient Building Enclosures for Wood-Frame Multi-Unit Residential Buildings in Marine to Cold Climates in North America*, 2013, FPInnovations
- 7) *Wood and Human Health, 2012*; *Wood as a Restorative Material in Healthcare Environments, 2015*; FPInnovations

CASE STUDY: COST-EFFECTIVE GREEN SCHOOLS



Spanaway Junior High School, Bethel School District, Spanaway, Washington. Photo by Bethel School District

Bethel School District (BSD) is proving that building green doesn't have to cost a lot. While the District reports an 81 percent ENERGY STAR rating overall, several of their 17 elementary and six junior high schools have a rating ranging from 95 to 98 percent. While size, configuration and age of the 23 facilities varies, one thing remains constant: each is wood-frame.

Wood-frame schools can be easily designed to meet or exceed the demanding energy-efficiency requirements of environmentally-minded school districts. And, they can do so cost effectively. One of BSD's new elementary schools, completed in 2011, had a total construction cost of \$197.70 per square foot—a significant savings compared to the average construction cost of an elementary school in western Washington, which is \$250.07.

BSD credits several factors in their success. In western Washington, wood studs cost almost half as much as metal; \$0.53 per lineal foot for wood versus \$0.98 for metal studs. Also, wood studs don't transfer heat and cold the way metal studs do, so wood helps improve the energy efficiency of the exterior envelope. Finally, wood-frame walls, floors and roofs easily accommodate inexpensive batt insulation, making it simple and cost-effective to over-insulate.

Of their 23 schools and one learning center, 18 have earned the ENERGY STAR label, and BSD has received national recognition from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency as an ENERGY STAR Leader.

CASE STUDY: ADAPTIVE REUSE



Federal Center South—Building 1202, Seattle, Washington; Architect: ZGF Architects LLP; Completed: 2012; WoodWorks Award Winner: Commercial Wood Design. Photo by Benjamin Benschneider

Seattle District headquarters for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers is a LEED Gold-certified project partially funded through the U.S. General Services Administration's Design Excellence Program, established to procure the nation's best engineers and architects in order to achieve the most innovative and high-performance design in federal government buildings. All of the wood used in the project was salvaged from a 1940s-era warehouse that previously occupied the site—a total of 200,000 board feet of heavy timber and 100,000 board feet of 2x6 tongue and groove roof decking. The heavy timber is featured fully exposed

in the central commons, which includes conference rooms, libraries, restrooms and gathering areas. However, because the amount of reclaimed wood was limited, composite timber-concrete beams were also used to increase beam spacing and allow the entire program to be met with wood from the warehouse. Heavy timber was also used on the walking surfaces of interior pedestrian bridges and stairs, incorporated into the exterior entry canopy, and milled for use as hand railings and ceiling finishes, while reclaimed decking was also used for interior wall paneling.

CASE STUDY: COST-EFFECTIVE LUXURY

At this luxury mixed-use development, the design team mixed cost-effective wood framing with a sleek, contemporary exterior.

Two things make this project unique: the fact that it includes a Type III building with five stories of wood and a Type V building with four stories of wood on a shared concrete podium, and the use of prefabrication to speed the building process.

The wood-frame portion of the development consists of Douglas-fir dimension lumber along with parallel strand lumber (PSL), laminated strand lumber (LVL), glulam beams and engineered wood I-joists for the floor and roof structures. The project uses both plywood and oriented strand board (OSB) structural wood sheathing.

One of the keys to Stella's success was coordination. With limited room on site, the developer, GLJ Partners, hired the framer to begin working on the wall panels about eight months prior to construction, which GLJ estimates "saved a few hundred thousand dollars just in general conditions and supervision."

Adding to the savings, the framer says panelization typically takes 10 to 15 percent off the timeline compared to traditional site-built construction. If there



Stella; Marina del Rey, California; Architect: DesignArc; Completed: 2013. Photo by Lawrence Anderson, www.lawrenceanderson.net

hadn't been delays with the podium, he says the Stella could have been entirely framed in 20 weeks, which is fast for a building of its size and level of complexity.

Construction cost for the project, which includes 244 apartment units, retail space, parking, and amenities such as a heated saltwater pool, sand beach and fitness center, was \$65 million.

CASE STUDY: INNOVATIVE USE OF A TRADITIONAL MATERIAL



The Bullitt Center; Seattle, Washington; Architect: The Miller Hull Group; Completed: 2013. Photo by John Stamets

Described as the world's 'greenest' commercial building, the Bullitt Center pushes the envelope in urban sustainability. The six-story, 52,000-square-foot structure is designed to meet stringent requirements of the Living Building Challenge (LBC)—using photovoltaic cells to generate enough electricity to sustain the needs of its tenants, recycling its own water and waste, and using far less energy than an average office building.

At the heart of this state-of-the-art structure lies a timber frame—a traditional building system that is increasingly being used in new and innovative ways.

The Bullitt Center includes four stories of heavy timber (Type IV) construction over two stories of reinforced concrete. The timber frame is made from Douglas-fir glulam beams and columns, finished to an industrial appearance grade, ranging in size from 5-1/8 x 15 to 12-1/4 x 21 inches. A solid 2x6 dimension lumber wood deck forms the floors; the 2x6 #2 Douglas-fir members were set on edge and then nailed to one another to form a solid panel, 5-1/2 inches deep. Similarly, the roof deck is comprised of 2x4s nailed together. CDX plywood is used for roof and floor diaphragms and for some wall panels.

CASE STUDY: THE ENVIRONMENT

There are many remarkable examples of wood buildings that have been designed to blend with their environment, and give occupants a deep connection to nature inside and out.

Two shown here closely combine the traditional values of wood with new techniques for sustainable building.



David and Lucile Packard Foundation; Los Altos, California; Architect: EHDD; Completed: 2012; WoodWorks Award Winner: Green Building with Wood. Photo by Jeremy Bittermann; courtesy of EHDD

Wood was used extensively in this 49,000-square-foot LEED Platinum, net zero energy company headquarters, emphasizing the building as a healthy and sustainable place to work. By combining exposed wood inside with views to the outdoor courtyard, the architect created a relaxed environment where the occupant is in constant contact with nature.



James and Anne Robinson Nature Center; Columbia, Maryland; Architect: GWWO, Inc./Architects; Completed: 2011; WoodWorks Award Winner: Institutional Wood Design. Photo by Robert Creamer Photography and Paul Burk Photography

Given the 26,000-square-foot Robinson Nature Center's role of connecting visitors with nature, it was important that the structure appear modest on approach and in keeping with its setting, while minimizing impacts on the site. Achieving LEED Platinum certification was of paramount importance to the client as its mission is to educate the public about environmental stewardship. By specifying wood that was either FSC-certified, low-emitting, recycled and/or regionally produced, seven LEED points were gained, helping this goal to be met.